## Bills assented to by His Highness the Maharaja.

**Mr. T. K. Krishna Menon.**—Sir, I have the honour to announce that a Bill to amend the Cochin Municipal Regulation XI of 1096, passed by the Legislative Council at its meeting of Friday, the 18th of Vrischigam 1102, corresponding to the 3rd of December 1926, has received the gracious assent of His Highness the Maharaja on the 22nd of Medam 1102, corresponding to the 5th of May 1927;

that a Bill to amend the Cochin Code of Criminal Procedure passed by the Legislative Council at its meeting on Friday, the 18th of Vrischigam 1102, corresponding to the 3rd of December 1926, has received the gracious assent of His Highness on the 22nd of Medam 1102, corresponding to the 5th of May 1927;

that a Bill to amend the Cochin Municipal Regulation, XI of 1096, passed by the Legislative Council on the same day, has received the gracious assent of His Highness on the 19th of Edavam 1102, corresponding to the 2nd of June 1927;

that the amendment to Standing Order No. 65 of the Cochin Legislative Council passed by the Legislative Council on Thursday, the 7th of April 1927, corresponding to the 25th of Meenam 1102, has received the gracious assent of His Highness the Maharaja on the 21st of Mithunam 1102, corresponding to the 5th of July 1927.

**Mr. A. B. Salem.**—A point of order, Sir. One Standing Order was read, i. e., Standing Order 65. Am I right? Was it the Standing Order that was read? You have said that the Standing Order was assented to by His Hghness. Does a Standing Order need the assent of His Highness? My point is that it should not be submitted to His Highness, and His Highness bothered by it.

**President.**—But His Highness' approval has to be taken.

**Mr. A. B. Salem.**—But it need not be brought to the Council.

**Mr. K. T. Mathew.**—This is a matter which was brought to the attention of the Council last time, when the Secretary said that the alterations suggested in the Standing Orders received the assent of His Highness. The same thing is repeated now.

**President.**—The mistake was once brought to the notice of the Council, and it has now been again brought to its notice. I suppose it is not your point that it has not been purposely attended to.

**Mr. A. B. Salem.**—No, Sir.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

**K. Krishna Pisharodi.**—Sir, under Rule 52 of the Legislative Council Rules, I beg to place on the Table the following statement of expenditure authorised by Government under Section 16 (9) of the Legislative Council Regulation, VIII of 1098.

| Item | Amount. Rs. a. p. | Remarks |
|---|---|---|
| **Demand I. Land Revenue** | | |
| 'Remittance of Treasure' | 100—0—0 | The increase in expenditure under the head is due to more remittances during the year to the Trichur Treasury where the expenditure is heavy, owing to more postal transactions, etc. |
| 'Establishment' | 2,751—0—0 | The provision made in the budget for leave allowance at 5 per cent was found insufficient and hence the additional allotment. |
| 'Lighting of Caution Posts' | 500—0—0 | There are in all 96 lights and the provision made in the budget was the minimum required. The allotment was thus found insufficient for lighting all the caution posts which was absolutely necessary, and the additional allotment was accordingly sanctioned. |
| 'Law charges' | 300—0—0 | Some big Oodupilli renewal suits had to be filed, and this accounts for the increased expenditure under the head. |
| 'Rents, rates, etc.' | 181—6—0 | Income-tax had to be paid to the British Government in respect of the water-cess levied in the Chittur Taluk. As no provision has been made for it in the budget, additional allotment had to be granted |
| **Demand XI.** | | |
| **Registration** | | |
| Establishment of the Superintendent' | 193—0—0 | The provision made in the budget at 5 per cent for leave allowances was found insufficient and hence the additional allotments. |
| 'Establishment of the District Registrars' | 1,019—0—0 | |

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

| Item | Amount Rs. a. p. | Remarks |
|---|---|---|
| 'Travelling allowance' | 130—0—0 | The reversion of Mr. A. K. Raman after the expiry of his acting term as Sub-Magistrate of Mukundapuram resulted in the transfer of certain District Registrars, and this caused additional expenditure under the head. As it is not possible to cash the travelling allowance bills for Mithunam 1102 without additional allotment, the same was sanctioned under the emergency section. |
| **Demand XXVII. Public Works** 'Buildings—Original' | 28,660—14—9 | A provision of Rs. 46,237 had been made in the budget for the construction of the Girls' High School at Ernakulam—Rs. 30,000 for the building and Rs. 16,237 for land acquisition charges. Of this Rs. 10,000 had to be transferred and appropriated to other urgent works thus leaving only Rs. 36,237 for the school work. A sum of Rs. 36,236—7—5 out of this was already paid on account of the work done. The land acquisition charges amounted to Rs. 28,660—14—9 and there was no allotment to meet this. The payment of the acquisition charges had to be made urgently and this necessited the grant of the additional allotment. |
| **Demand XXIX. Scientific, etc. Departments** Industries proper 'Miscellaneous' | 2,000—0—0 | A sum of Rs. 2,000 had been advanced by the Public Works Department to the V. V. Sabha, Cherai for the construction of the Coir Factory and this was subsequently utilised for the Coir School work. All charges on account of the work being debitable to the Industries Department the advance had to be adjusted by debit to the Industries Department and credit to Public Works Advances. Hence the additional allotment. |
| **CRANGANUR** | | |
| **Demand 1.** **Land Revenue.** Antirabic treatment' | 25—0—0 | The additional allotment was necessitated as a result of the increase in the number of patients for antirabic treatment. |

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly